

## REMARKS

By this amendment, Applicants have amended claims 39 and 42-45 to be in independent form by including in each of these claims all of the claims from which each claim previously depended. Applicants have canceled claims 6, 29, 33-38, 40 and 41 without prejudice or disclaimer.

Since the foregoing amendments merely cancel claims and rewrite claims in independent form, the amendments do not raise new issues requiring further consideration and/or search. Moreover, the foregoing amendments place the application in condition for allowance for the reasons set forth hereinafter or, at least, in better form for consideration on appeal. Therefore, entry of this amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 is requested.

The Examiner has objected to claim 31 (sic- 33?) under 37 C.F.R. §1.75(c). However, it appears “31” in the statement of the objection is a typographical error for “33.” Claim 31 now depends from claim 8, which is clearly allowed. Moreover, claim 31 does not contain the limitation “projecting past the frame” noted by the Examiner. Moreover, claim 31 has been allowed as mentioned in the first two lines of page 2. On the other hand, claim 33 depends from rejected claim 6 and contains the limitation “projects past the frame.” Therefore, it appears claim 33 is the claim to which the Examiner has objected. In view of the cancellation of claim 33, reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are requested.

In view of the cancellation of claims 6, 29, 33-38, 40 and 41, the rejection of these claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is moot. It appears the rejection of

claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) is a clerical error in view of the fact claim 4 depends from allowed claim 8 as indicated in the second paragraph on page 3 of the Office Action.

It also appears claims 11 and 12 appear in item 6 of the Office Action Summary (Form PTOL-326) through a clerical error. Since claims 11 and 12 ultimately depend from claim 8 and since claim 8 is clearly allowed, it appears that claims 11 and 12 are also allowed as indicated in the second paragraph on page 3 of the Office Action.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, favorable reconsideration and allowance of all of the claims now in the application are requested.

Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, to the deposit account of Antonelli, Terry, Stout & Kraus, LLP, Deposit Account No. 01-2135 (Case: 321.43756X00), and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP

/Alan E. Schiavelli/

Alan E. Schiavelli

Registration No. 32,087

AES/at  
(703) 312-6600